UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,432	02/28/2002	Martin De Loye	Q68486	6237
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			DANIEL JR, WILLIE J	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/084,432	DE LOYE ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	WILLIE J. DANIEL JR	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of) A proposed peak as a proper reply to the Office of (h) ☐ A proposed peak as a proper reply to the Office of (h) ☐ A proposed peak as a proper reply to the Office of (h) ☐ A proposed peak as a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of).	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does in	·					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.						
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was 	5).					
), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere court review of the decision has expired and there are no		nd because the period for seeking				
7. The reason(s) below:						
The Examiner confirmed abandonment on 24 March (Reg. No.: 39,234).	n 2010. The attorney of record fo	r the case was Kelly Hyndman				
/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617						
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly file						